

RECEIVED
U.S. E.P.A.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Clerk of the Board

Environmental Appeals Board (MC 1103B)

Ariel Rios Building

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.

Washington, D. C. 20460-0001

2006 JUN 12 AM 10: 03

ENVIR. APPEALS BOARD

June 6, 2006

In Re: Knauf Insulation Permit No. NSR 4 -4 -4, SAC 03-01

Dear Sir:

I realize the EPA stated that surveys or reports of health conditions are not its' concern, however, the mission statement's first line says that criteria is based on the protection of public health.

I have a really severe case of asthma, and since Knauf began operation, my condition has become much worse. The EPA cannot be thinking of the public's health , if a fiberglass plant is allowed to increase its' toxic emissions into the air.

Shasta Lake is contiguous to Redding, and they are located in a landlocked basin. Most of the time there is no air flow, so what originates in the basin stays there. That includes all of the Nox and PM10 emissions from Knauf. There might be, and are, hours and hours of these pollutants collecting in the air and not blowing away.

This is why a recent survey reported that Redding is one of the top ten dirtiest air cities in the nation! Knauf certainly does not need to be allowed to contribute more toxicity into our local air!

Please do not grant this increase in emissions for the Knauf Fiberglass plant.

Yours truly,


Henry Francis

13613 Jaybird Way

Redding, CA. 96003

530.275.0124